
## COVID-19 Spread (Part II)



• I'm not an epidemiologist, doctor, or any kind of expert on the subject. I just look at the numbers.

In Part I, I built an exponential model using data between March 2 and March 15, then continued to add daily numbers to see how that model tracked:



ed dc n a bit an we gc ab ut 13 1 ca s ins ea Th de at n fro th ex or nt m el wf t I	ow at de boo 33 se stadisvi io me peial dis ha split
ex	e
or	elo

## **Growth Factor**

There's a ratio involving three data points that's useful to track how "fast" the exponential grows. It's easier to explain with an example, so suppose we had three days like this:

#### Day # of Cases New Cases Growth Factor f the growth factor is above 1, the number of new cases is itself increasing, which means we are still in the Day1 100 Exponential phase. To calculate the growth factor:

				r of case	s is growingeatimatestastastoratalculaiseishbenemideale oo f the
Day2	<b>1pg</b> istic Cur	<b>ነ</b> ዊ (more on	that soon).	1	new cases from one day to the next.
Day3 18the growt 2 factor is less than 1, then the infection rate is herellingtaffe the ratio between the number					

of new cases  $(20 \div 10 = 2)$ 

Her
e
are
the
num
ber
of
case
s in
Can
ada
with
the
calc
ulat
ed
gro
wth
fact
ors:

Date	# of Cases	New Cases	<b>Growth Factor</b>	Date	# of Cases	New Cases	<b>Growth Factor</b>
2020-03-01	?			2020-03-16	441	99	1.33
2020-03-02	27			2020-03-17	596	155	1.57
2020-03-03	27	0		2020-03-18	727	131	0.85
2020-03-04	33	6		2020-03-19	873	146	1.11
2020-03-05	37	4	0.67	2020-03-20	1087	214	1.47
2020-03-06	48	11	2.75	2020-03-21	1331	244	1.14
2020-03-07	60	12	1.09	2020-03-221)			
2020-03-08	64	4	0.33	2020-03-23	2091	380	1.56
2020-03-09	77	14	3.25	2020-03-24	2792	701	1.84
2020-03-10	95	18	1.38	2020-03-25			
2020-03-11	117	22	1.22	2020-03-26			
2020-03-12	157	40	1.82	2020-03-27			
2020-03-13	201	44	1.10	2020-03-28			
2020-03-14	254	53	1.20	2020-03-29			
2020-03-15	342	88	1.66	2020-03-30			

- mes
- sy.

lt's

- also
- wort

h

kee

ping

in
min
d
that
the
num
ber
of
case
S
are
cont
inge
nt
on
how
muc
h
testi
ng
we
do.
lt's
easy
to
ima
gine
that
testi
ng
labs
are
lagg
ing
a
few
day
S
behi
nd
and
that
they
'll
som
etim
es
be
able
to
repo
rt
11

mor e resu lts one day and less the next

We don't have an accurate picture of the world here so it's hard to make any kind of hard predictions. Never-theless, as of March 21, there seemed to be decreasing pattern:

Overall, the growth factor is mostly above 1 (in the exponential phase), but it looks like we might be on track to reach 1 by the end of the month (end of exponential phase). If that's the case, and if we continue to implement measures to slow the down the spread, then we'll be in a better position to estimate the final outcome by the end of the month. Here's why.

×

#### **The Logistic Curve**

In Part I, we saw that very different Logistic Curves can fit the current data, and that there's really no way of knowing which path we're on yet. Here they are again:

- Logistic 1 is the very best case scenario where the total number will be double of what it is today. This assumes that the growth factor reached 1 yesterday (March 21), which it hasn't.
- Logistic 2 is an optimistic scenario where the total number reaches 12,000 and the growth factor reaches 1 on March 30st.
- Logistic 3 is a very likely scenario where the total number reaches 20,000 and the growth factor reaches 1 on April 1st. This is **not** a worst case scenario. Things could be much worse (look at Italy).

Logistic 1	Logistic 2	Logistic 3
$\$N = \frac{2660}{1 + e^{-0.32(t - 0.32(t - 0.32))}}$	$N = \frac{12000}{1 + e^{-0.232}}$	$\$N = \frac{20000}{1 + e^{-0.24(t - b^{-0.24})}}$
21.1)}}\$\$	- 30)}}\$\$	32)}}\$\$

There's a few things to know about the Logistic Curve, in the middle:

- The curve is flat like a straight line, which indicates that the growth rate is constant.
- This means that the growth factor is 1 (by definition)
- It also happens that this is the halfway point in terms of total number of cases.

So once we reach that point, we'll be able to get a better estimate of where we'll end up. Until then, things are still

very much in the air.

# March 24th Update

A lot happened over at the beginning of the week:

- BC seems to be dropping the ball on testing. Their reported numbers are proportionally much lower than Quebec and Ontario, which indicates we are simply not testing enough.
- Quebec went the opposite way, increasing their testing.

Over all, it looks like we are back on the exponential curve with an overall doubling time of 3.1 days:

×	×	The
		Gro
		wth
		Fact
		or
		also
		see
		ms
		to
		sho
		W
		this
		as
		we
		no
		long
		er
		see
		m to
		be
		goin
		a
		g tow
		ards
		1:
		1.

#### 1)

×

BC did not report its numbers on March 22 so I excluded this data point.