
#### Last update: 2020/03/24 19:02


# **COVID-19 Spread (Part II)**



• I'm not an epidemiologist, doctor, or any kind of expert on the subject. I just look at the numbers.

In Part I, I built an exponential model using data between March 2 and March 15, then continued to add daily numbers to see how that model tracked:





Initi ally, the num ber of case S dou bled ever У 2.7 day S, pred ictin g alm ost 160 case s by the end of Satu rday Mar ch 21, but sinc e Thur sda у, the rate of

Last update: 2020/03/24 19:02

infe ctio

n

see

ms to

hav

e

slow

ed dow

dow n a

bit

and we

got abo

ut 133

133 1

> case s

inst ead.

This devi

atio

n from

the exp

one ntial

mod el is

wha

t I expl

ore belo

W.

### **Growth Factor**

There's a ratio involving three data points that's useful to track how "fast" the exponential grows. It's easier to explain with an example, so suppose we had three days like this:

Day # of Cases New Cases Growth Factor

If the growth factor is above 1, the number of new cases is itself increasing, which means we are still in the To calculate the growth factor:

To calculate the growth factor:

Day # bfecases Newtorises theorem of cases is growinged tratestes the middle of the Day 1.00 is in Curyo (more on that soon).

Day 1.00 is the growt 2 factor is less than 1, then the infection rate is less the ratio between the number of new cases (20 ÷ 10 = 2)

Her е are the num ber of case s in Can ada with the calc ulat ed gro wth fact ors:

Ther e's a lot of vari atio n in the gro wth fact or bec aus е real

Last update: 2020/03/24 19:02

Date	# of Cases	<b>New Cases</b>	<b>Growth Factor</b>
2020-03-01	?		
2020-03-02	27		
2020-03-03	27	0	
2020-03-04	33	6	
2020-03-05	37	4	0.67
2020-03-06	48	11	2.75
2020-03-07	60	12	1.09
2020-03-08	64	4	0.33
2020-03-09	77	14	3.25
2020-03-10	95	18	1.38
2020-03-11	117	22	1.22
2020-03-12	157	40	1.82
2020-03-13	201	44	1.10
2020-03-14	254	53	1.20
2020-03-15	342	88	1.66

Date	# of Cases	<b>New Cases</b>	<b>Growth Factor</b>
2020-03-16	441	99	1.33
2020-03-17	596	155	1.57
2020-03-18	727	131	0.85
2020-03-19	873	146	1.11
2020-03-20	1087	214	1.47
2020-03-21	1331	244	1.14
2020-03-22 <sup>1)</sup>			
2020-03-23	2091	380	1.56
2020-03-24	2792	701	1.84
2020-03-25			
2020-03-26			
2020-03-27			
2020-03-28			
2020-03-29			
2020-03-30			

life is mes sy. It's also wort h kee ping

Last update: 2020/03/24 19:02

in min d that the num ber of case S are cont inge nt on how muc h testi ng we do. lt's easy to ima gine that testi ng labs are lagg ing а few day S behi nd

and that they 'II som etim es be able to repo rt

mor e resu Its one day and less the next

Last update: 2020/03/24 19:02

We don't have an accurate picture of the world here so it's hard to make any kind of hard predictions. Never-theless, as of March 21, there seemed to be decreasing pattern:



Overall, the growth factor is mostly above 1 (in the exponential phase), but it looks like we might be on track to reach 1 by the end of the month (end of exponential phase). If that's the case, and if we continue to implement measures to slow the down the spread, then we'll be in a better position to estimate the final outcome by the end of the month. Here's why.

## The Logistic Curve

In Part I, we saw that very different Logistic Curves can fit the current data, and that there's really no way of knowing which path we're on yet. Here they are again:



- Logistic 1 is the very best case scenario where the total number will be double of what it is today. This assumes that the growth factor reached 1 yesterday (March 21), which it hasn't.
- Logistic 2 is an optimistic scenario where the total number reaches 12,000 and the growth factor reaches 1 on March 30st.
- Logistic 3 is a very likely scenario where the total number reaches 20,000 and the growth factor reaches 1 on April 1st. This is **not** a worst case scenario. Things could be much worse (look at Italy).

Logistic 1	Logistic 2	Logistic 3
$$N = \frac{2660}{1 + e^{-0.32(t - e^{-0.32})}}$	$$N = \frac{12000}{1 + e^{-0.232}(t)}$	$$N = \frac{20000}{1 + e^{-0.24(t - e^{-0.24(t $
21.1)}}\$\$	- 30)}}\$\$	32)}}\$\$

There's a few things to know about the Logistic Curve, in the middle:

- The curve is flat like a straight line, which indicates that the growth rate is constant.
- This means that the growth factor is 1 (by definition)
- It also happens that this is the halfway point in terms of total number of cases.

So once we reach that point, we'll be able to get a better estimate of where we'll end up. Until then, things are still

very much in the air.

# **March 24th Update**


A lot happened over at the beginning of the week:

• BC seems to be dropping the ball on testing. Their reported numbers are proportionally much lower than Quebec and Ontario, which indicates we are simply not testing enough.

Last update: 2020/03/24 19:02

• Quebec went the opposite way, increasing their testing.

Over all, it looks like we are back on the exponential curve with an overall doubling time of 3.1 days:



Over a week ago, when we only had 342 cases, the model predicted we were about two weeks behind Italy (which had 26,000 cases at the time). The updated model (doubling every 3.1 days) predicts that we are 15 days behind Italy (with over 69,000 cases). What we are doing is not working.

×

BC did not report its numbers on March 22 so I excluded this data point.