
COVID-19 Spread (Part II)



• I'm not an epidemiologist, doctor, or any kind of expert on the subject. I just look at the numbers.

In Part I, I built an exponential model using data between March 2 and March 15, then continued to add daily numbers to see how that model tracked:



n rate see ms
to
hav
e
slow
ed
dow
n a
bit and
we
got
abo
ut
133
1
case
S
inst
ead.
This
devi
atio
n c
from
the
exp one
ntial
mod
el is
wha
tl
expl
ore
belo
w.

Growth Factor

There's a ratio involving three data points that's useful to track how "fast" the exponential grows. It's easier to explain with an example, so suppose we had three days like this:

Day # of Cases New Cases Growth Factor Day1 100 Day2 110 • If the growth factor > 1, the number of new cases is itself increasing each day, which means we are still in To calculate the growth factor: Day2 110 • If the growth factor = 1, then the number of cases is growing at a constant rate (same amount each day).

					that soonJake the number of new cases from one day
Day3	18the grow	th0factor <	${f 2}$, then the infec	tion rate	e is levelling the next (10 new cases from Day 1 to Day
		ļ		1	2, 20 new cases from Day 2 to Day 3)

• Then, take the ratio between new cases (20 Her ÷ 10 = 2) e

е are the num ber of case s in Can ada with the calc ulat ed gro wth fact ors:

Date	# of Cases	New Cases	Growth Factor	Date	# of Cases	New Cases	Growth Factor
2020-03-01	?			2020-03-16	441	99	1.33
2020-03-02	27			2020-03-17	596	155	1.57
2020-03-03	27	0		2020-03-18	727	131	0.85
2020-03-04	33	6		2020-03-19	873	146	1.11
2020-03-05	37	4	0.67	2020-03-20	1087	214	1.47
2020-03-06	48	11	2.75	2020-03-21	1331	244	1.14
2020-03-07	60	12	1.09	2020-03-221)			
2020-03-08	64	4	0.33	2020-03-23	2091	380	1.56
2020-03-09	77	14	3.25	2020-03-24	2792	701	1.84
2020-03-10	95	18	1.38	2020-03-25			
2020-03-11	117	22	1.22	2020-03-26			
2020-03-12	157	40	1.82	2020-03-27			
2020-03-13	201	44	1.10	2020-03-28			
2020-03-14	254	53	1.20	2020-03-29			
2020-03-15	342	88	1.66	2020-03-30			

lt's

- also
- wort

h

kee

ping

. in

min
d that
the
num
ber of
case
S
are cont
inge
nt
on how
muc
h
testi
ng we
do.
lt's
easy to
ima
gine
that testi
ng
labs
are lagg
ing
a
few day
S
behi
nd and
that
they
' som
som etim
es
be
able to
repo
rt
mor

e resu lts one day and less the next

We don't have an accurate picture of the world here so it's hard to make any kind of hard predictions. Never-theless, as of March 21, there seemed to be decreasing pattern:

×

Overall, the growth factor is mostly above 1 (in the exponential phase), but it looks like we might be on track to reach 1 by the end of the month (end of exponential phase). If that's the case, and if we continue to implement measures to slow the down the spread, then we'll be in a better position to estimate the final outcome by the end of the month. Here's why.

The Logistic Curve

In Part I, we saw that very different Logistic Curves can fit the current data, and that there's really no way of knowing which path we're on yet. Here they are again:

- Logistic 1 is the very best case scenario where the total number will be double of what it is today. This assumes that the growth factor reached 1 yesterday (March 21), which it hasn't.
- Logistic 2 is an optimistic scenario where the total number reaches 12,000 and the growth factor reaches 1 on March 30st.
- Logistic 3 is a very likely scenario where the total number reaches 20,000 and the growth factor reaches 1 on April 1st. This is **not** a worst case scenario. Things could be much worse (look at Italy).

Logistic 1	Logistic 2	Logistic 3
		$= \frac{20000}{1 + e^{-0.24(t - 2000)}}$
21.1)}}\$\$	- 30)}}\$\$	32)}}\$\$

There's a few things to know about the Logistic Curve, in the middle:

- The curve is flat like a straight line, which indicates that the growth rate is constant.
- This means that the growth factor is 1 (by definition)
- It also happens that this is the halfway point in terms of total number of cases.

So once we reach that point, we'll be able to get a better estimate of where we'll end up. Until then, things are still very much in the air.

March 24th Update

A lot happened at the beginning of the week:

• BC seems to be dropping the ball on testing. Their reported numbers are proportionally much lower than Quebec and Ontario, which indicates we are simply not testing enough.

×

• Quebec went the opposite way, increasing their testing.

Over all, it looks like we are back on the exponential curve with an overall doubling time of 3.1 days:

×

The Gro wth Fact or also see ms to sup port this as it no long er see ms to be decr easi ng.

×

Over a week ago, when we only had 342 cases, the model predicted we were about two weeks behind Italy (which had 26,000 cases at the time). The updated model (doubling every 3.1 days) predicts that we are 15 days behind Italy (with over 69,000 cases). What we are doing is not working.

1)

BC did not report its numbers on March 22 so I excluded this data point.