

COVID-19 Spread (Part II)



- I'm not an epidemiologist, doctor, or any kind of expert on the subject. I just look at the numbers.
- This was originally written on Sunday March 22nd. Since then, I've updated the numbers and added updates at the end of the post.

In Part I, I built an exponential model using data between March 2 and March 15, then continued to add daily numbers to see how that model tracked:





Initi ally, the num ber of case S dou bled ever У 2.7 day S, pred ictin g alm ost 160 case s by the end of Satu rday Mar ch 21, but sinc е Thur sda у, the

Last update: 2020/03/28 17:53

infe ctio n rate see ms to hav slow ed dow n a bit and we got abo ut 133 1 case S inst ead. This devi atio n from the exp

one ntial mod el is wha t I expl ore belo w.

Growth Factor

There's a ratio involving three data points that's useful to track how "fast" the exponential grows. It's easier to explain with an example, so suppose we had three days like this:

Day #	f of Cases	New Cases	Growth Factor	f now co	ses is itself increasing each day,	which moons we are still in
Day1 1	LOO GIOWL	ii iactoi >	1 , the number of	new ca	ses is itself increasing each day,	WillCit filedits we are suit in

Day #10fe@ases Niewp@ases Growth Factor

To calculate the growth factor:

Day2 111the growth factor = 1, then the number of cases is growing at a constant rate (same amount each day).

Day3 115ths is the notice of the position of th

- - Then, take the ratio between new cases (20 $\div 10 = 2$)

е are the num ber of case s in Can ada with the calc ulat ed gro wth fact ors:

Her

Date	# of Cases	New Cases	Growth Factor
2020-03-01	?		
2020-03-02	27		
2020-03-03	27	0	
2020-03-04	33	6	
2020-03-05	37	4	0.67
2020-03-06	48	11	2.75
2020-03-07	60	12	1.09
2020-03-08	64	4	0.33
2020-03-09	77	14	3.25
2020-03-10	95	18	1.38
2020-03-11	117	22	1.22
2020-03-12	157	40	1.82
2020-03-13	201	44	1.10
2020-03-14	254	53	1.20
2020-03-15	342	88	1.66

Date	# of Cases	New Cases	Growth Factor	Ther
2020-03-16	441	99	1.33	e's a
2020-03-17	596	155	1.57	lot
2020-03-18	727	131	0.85	of
2020-03-19	873	146	1.11	vari atio
2020-03-20	1087	214	1.47	n in
2020-03-21	1331	244	1.14	
2020-03-22	BC did not r	eport its num 22.	bers on March	gro wth
2020-03-23	2091	380	1.56	fact
2020-03-24	2792	701	1.84	or
2020-03-25	3409	617	0.88	
2020-03-26	4043	634	1.03	aus
2020-03-27	4757	714	1.13	
2020-03-28	5655	898	1.26	real life
2020-03-29				is
2020-03-30				mes

sy. lt's also wort h kee

ping in min d that the num bers we see are cont inge nt on how muc h testi ng we do. lt's easy to ima gine that testi ng labs are lagg ing а few day S behi nd and that they Ш som etim es be able

to repo rt

We don't have an accurate picture of the world here so it's hard to make any kind of hard predictions. Never-theless, as of March 21, there seemed to be a loosely decreasing pattern:



Overall, the growth factor is mostly above 1 (in the exponential phase), but it looks like we might be on track to reach 1 by the end of the month (end of exponential phase). If that's the case, and if we continue to implement measures to slow the down the spread, then we'll be in a better position to estimate the final outcome by the end of the month. Here's why.

The Logistic Curve

In Part I, we saw that very different Logistic Curves can fit the current data, and that there's really no way of knowing which path we're on yet. Here they are again:



- Logistic 1 was the very best case scenario (as of March 22) where the total number will be double of what it is today. This assumes that the growth factor reached 1 yesterday (March 21), which it hasn't. But we're way passed that now.
- Logistic 2 is an optimistic scenario where the total number reaches 12,000 and the growth factor reaches 1 on March 30st.
- Logistic 3 is a very likely scenario where the total number reaches 20,000 and the growth factor reaches 1 on April 1st. This is **not** a worst case scenario. Things could be much worse (look at Italy).

Logistic 1	Logistic 2	Logistic 3	
$$$N = \frac{2660}{1 + e^{-0.32(t - e^{-0.32(t $	$$N = \frac{12000}{1 + e^{-0.232}(t)}$	$$N = \frac{20000}{1 + e^{-0.24(t - e^{-0.24(t $	
21.1)}}\$\$	- 30)}}\$\$	32)}}\$\$	

Here are a few things to know about the Logistic Curve. In the middle:

- The curve is flat like a straight line, which indicates that the growth rate is constant.
- This means that the growth factor is 1 (by definition)
- It also happens that this is the halfway point in terms of total number of cases.

So once we reach that point, we'll be able to get a better estimate of where we'll end up. Until then, things are still very much in the air.


Last update: 2020/03/28 17:53

March 28th Update

A lot happened this week:

- BC seems to be dropping the ball on testing. Their reported numbers are proportionally much lower than Quebec and Ontario and the messaging is that we might finally be "flattening the curve". However, it could simply be that we are not testing enough and are way behind on reporting results. I finally got my result yesterday (negative) three weeks after getting tested!
- Quebec went the opposite way, increasing their testing and finding a lot more cases.

Over all, it looks like we are back on the exponential curve with an overall doubling time of 3.1 days:



Over a week ago, back when we only had 342 cases, the model (at the time) predicted we were about two weeks behind Italy (which had 26,000 then).

The updated model (doubling every 3.1 days) predicts that we are about 12 days behind Italy (with now has over 92,000 cases). Whatever we have been doing is either not working or we are not seeing the effects yet.

According to the CBC from March 25th:

"Dix and provincial health officer Dr. Bonnie Henry both said they are optimistic B.C. isn't following the same path as countries like Italy that have seen their healthcare systems overwhelmed by huge spikes in hospitalizations and deaths."

Country-wide, the numbers disagree. We have about two weeks behind Italy since the beginning of March. Province-wide, the numbers do look better, but it could well be because we are not testing as much as other provinces like Quebec and Ontario. There are no reasons to be optimistic about being on a different path.

Last update: 2020/03/28 17:53

The Growth Factor *might* be decreasing slightly, but nothing that allows us to make any prediction as to when the half-way point of the Logistic Curve will be. We are still well on the exponential curve.



The Mid Island Radio Association group posted this video about Safe Grocery Shopping